

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 09/940,406 | 08/27/2001 | Benjamin J. Bowers | 0325.00502 | 4349 | | |
| 21363 | 7590 04/06/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C. | | | NGUYEN | NGUYEN, HAI L | | |
| 24840 HARPI ST. CLAIR S | HORES, MI 48080 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 2816 | 2816 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/06/200- | DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/940,40 | 6 | BOWERS ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Hai L. Ngu | · | 2816 | | | |
| Ti Period for R | he MAILING DATE of this communica eply | tion appears on the | cover sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | Idress | | |
| THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAS of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the properties of the thirty (30) do for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do for reply is specified above, the maximum statute reply within the set or extended period for reply will received by the Office later than three months after tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. ays, a reply within the statuory period will apply and will by statute. cause the apple. | nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cation to become ABANDONEC | ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c | ly. ommunication. | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Re | sponsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>27 <i>January 200</i>4</u> | <u>4</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ Thi | ∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla | | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The App Rep | e specification is objected to by the E e drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 20</u> plicant may not request that any objection placement drawing sheet(s) including the e oath or declaration is objected to be | 03 is/are: a)⊠ acc on to the drawing(s) b e correction is require | e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Certified copies of the priority do | cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rule | n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National | Stage | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of | References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary (| | | | |
| 3) Information | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT (s)/Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | O-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/940,406

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments filed on 01/27/04 has been received and entered in the case. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejections by the previous office action mailed on 04/25/02 have been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. Therefore, the prior art rejection is maintained. The arguments supporting the previous rejections are addressed in detail below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller et al. (US 6,313,663; previously cited).
- 4. With regard to claims 1 and 16, Mueller et al. discloses in Fig. 7 an apparatus, and a method of use thereof, comprising an input section (702A exclude P2 & N2) configured to generate a first control signal (for controlling P2) and a second control signal (for controlling N2) in response to an input signal (408) and a select signal (EN_RD), wherein the input section comprises a first device (708) and a second device (710) each having a source and a drain configured to connect the input signal with the first control signal and the second control signal in response to the select signal; and an output section (P2 & N2) configured to generate an

Application/Control Number: 09/940,406

Art Unit: 2816

output signal (406) in response to the first and the second control signals, wherein the output signal is related to the input signal when in a first mode (when EN_RD is High), and disabled when in a second mode (when EN_RD is Low). Fig.7 of Mueller et al. meets all the claimed limitations except for one or more third devices (N2, P3 in instant Fig.5) each have a source and a drain configured to connect the first control signal (124) and the second control signal (126) when in the first mode. However, Mueller et al. teaches in Fig.6 a circuit having third devices that (608) has a source and a drain configured to connect the first control signal (628, gate terminal of p_FET 618) and the second control signal (632, gate terminal of n_FET 620) when in the first mode (when EN_RD is High) as recited in the claims. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention was made to utilize that third devices taught by Mueller et al. in Fig.7 for the advantage of passing voltage between its two control signals for controlling the output section.

With regard to claim 2, the apparatus inherently comprises a push-pull multiplexer bit. Since the apparatus of the prior art meets all the structural limitations of the claim. Therefore, the structural cooperative relationships of elements of the prior art apparatus would be considered as a push-pull multiplexer bit as recited in the claim.

With regard to claims 3-14 and 21, the reference also meets all the claimed limitations in these claims.

Claim 15 is similarly rejected. Note the above discussion with regard to claim 1.

With regard to claims 17-20, the reference also meets all the claimed limitations in these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,406 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Response to Arguments

では一日の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の本本をはないませい。

Applicant's first argument with respect to the prior art rejections of claims 1, 15, and 16 concerning the differences between Mueller et al. and the present invention as "Mueller does not teach or suggest each and every element of the presently claimed invention." is not persuasive because all the limitations in the claims are clearly anticipated by the reference, since all of the rejection are solely based on the claimed limitations. Note the above discussion with regard to the rejections of those claims.

6. Applicant's next argument is that "In particular, contrary to the position taken in the Office Action that one skilled in the art would add the device 608 in the circuit in FIG. 7 of Mueller (see lines Office Action)" is not is not persuasive because Fig. 6 of Mueller et al. clearly teaches that when the transmission gate 608 (aka a third device as recited in the claims) is on and the first control signal is at logical high (VDD) that would turns p_FET 618 off and passes that state (VDD) to the second control signal to turn n_FET 620 on; and when the first control signal is at logical low (ground level) that would turn p_FET 618 on and n_FET 620 off. Thus, it would have been obvious to implement that transmission gate for controlling the output section for generating an output signal.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

・女はこうないまっているのとままないとなっているという

Application/Control Number: 09/940,406

Art Unit: 2816

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai L. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1747 and Right Fax number is 571-273-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1562.

April 2, 2004

MMOTHYP. CALLAHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800